UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

AT BECKLEY

JAMES C. KOPP, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-cv-00826

UNITED STATES, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Pending is James C. Kopp's letter-form Complaint [Doc.1] seeking relief for alleged violations of his and other inmates' constitutional rights pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), filed November 21, 2019.

This action was previously referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF&R"). Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn filed his PF&R on May 22, 2020. Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn recommended that the Court dismiss Mr. Kopp's letter-form Complaint without prejudice and remove this matter from the Court's docket.

The Court need not review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made.*") (emphasis added). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's

right to appeal the Court's order. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also United States v. De Leon-

Ramirez, 925 F.3d 177, 181 (4th Cir. 2019) (noting parties may not typically "appeal a magistrate

judge's findings that were not objected to below, as § 636(b) doesn't require de novo review absent

objection."); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989). Further, the Court need not

conduct de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct

the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano*

v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections in this case were due on June 8, 2020. No

objections were filed.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the PF&R [Doc. 4], DISMISSES Mr. Kopp's

letter-form Complaint without prejudice [Doc. 1], and DIRECTS the Clerk to remove this matter

from the Court's docket.

The Court directs the Clerk to transmit a copy of this Order to any counsel of record

and any unrepresented party.

ENTERED: June 22, 2020

Frank W. Volk
United States District Judge